

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,322	01/15/2004	Georg Mogk	100717-607/ Bayer 10, 268	5385	
27384 12232008 NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, PA 875 THIRD AVENUE 18'TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BROWN JR, NATHAN H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2129		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/758,322	MOGK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NATHAN H. BROWN JR	2129	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires (3) months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: _ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: . /David R Vincent/ /Nathan H. Brown, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2129 Examiner, Art Unit 2129

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner has entered the amendment to the specification. However, reconsideration of the claims after final rejection will not be made with the prosecution closed. Examiner notes that the amendment to the specification still does not supply a computer readable medium to the disclosure. Claim 10 recites a "computer digital storage medium program product" which examiner interprets as software. Further, examiner asserts that one of ordinary skill in Al or neurocomputing recognizes the term "neural network" does not generally refer to computing systems or computer architectures because neural networks due not scale well as hardware due to the interconnect requirement. One of ordinary skill in the art would further recognize that what have been referred to as "neuro" or "neural" computers have been, in fact, scalable numerical processors or backend computers (based on von Neumann or SIMD or MIMID architectures) or processor boards (e.g., GPUs) programmed to execute optimized numerical algorithmics offware to perform linear algebraic operations vell known for implementation of quasi-Newton and steepest descent methods which are the core of neural network fearning. The current Wilkpedia entery for Artifical neural network states that a neural network will also advanced to computational model based on biological neural networks. "Applicants disclose on information that would lead examiner to believe that applicants' invention is directed toward analog optical or holographic implementation of neural networks. Further, examiner asserts that one of ordinary skill in Al or neurocomputing would recognize that disregarding input dater the fact of testing whether it is inside of some range does not constitute a prediction as normally defined to involve anticipation or declaring or indicating swetther it is inside of some range does not constitute a prediction as normally defined to involve anticipation or declaring or indicating swetther it